# Methodology

#### http://www.epa.gov/oar/aqtrnd03/appendb.pdf

# AQS Methodology

The ambient air quality data presented in Chapters 2 and 3 of this report are based on data retrieved from the Air Quality System (AQS) on July 2003. These are direct measurements of pollutant concentrations at monitoring stations operated by tribes and state and local governments throughout the nation. The monitoring stations are generally located in larger urban areas. EPA and other federal agencies also operate some air quality monitoring sites on a temporary basis as a part of air pollution research studies. The national monitoring network conforms to uniform criteria for monitor siting, instrumentation, and quality assurance.<sup>1,2</sup>

Emission estimation methods used for historical years prior to 1985 are considered "top-down approaches," e.g., pollutant emissions were estimated by using national average emission characterization techniques (for  $NO_x$ , VOC, CO, Pb, and  $PM_{10}$ ). Emission estimates for the years 1985 to present represent an evolution in methods for significant categories, resulting in a "bottom-up approach" including data submitted directly by state/local agencies (for all criteria pollutants,  $PM_{2.5}$ , and  $NH_3$ ).

In 2002, thousands of monitoring sites reported air quality data for one or more of the six National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)

Table B-1. Number of Ambient Monitors with Valid Annual Summary Statistics

Pollutant	No. of Sites with Valid Annual Summary Statistics in 2002	No. of Trend Sites 1992–2002
СО	331	387
Pb	77	96
NO <sub>2</sub>	217	250
O <sub>3</sub>	718	785
PM <sub>10</sub>	629	770
SO <sub>2</sub>	361	449

pollutants to AQS, as shown in Table B-1. The sites consist of National Air Monitoring Stations (NAMS), State and Local Air Monitoring Stations (SLAMS), and other special-purpose monitors. NAMS were established to ensure a long-term national network for urban area-oriented ambient monitoring and to provide a systematic, consistent database for air quality comparisons and trends analysis. SLAMS allow state or local governments to develop networks tailored for their immediate monitoring needs.

Air quality monitoring sites are selected as national trends sites if they have complete data for at least 8 of the 10 years. The annual data completeness criteria are specific to each pollutant and measurement methodology. Table B-1 displays the number of sites meeting the 10-year trend completeness criteria. Because of the annual turnover of monitoring

sites, the use of a moving 10-year window maximizes the number of sites available for trends and yields a database that is consistent with the current monitoring network.

The air quality data are divided into two major groupings: daily (24-hour) measurements and continuous (1-hour) measurements. The daily measurements are obtained from monitoring instruments that produce one measurement per 24-hour period and typically operate on a systematic sampling schedule of once every 6 days, or 61 samples per year. Such instruments are used to measure PM<sub>10</sub> and lead. More frequent sampling of PM<sub>10</sub> (every other day or every day) also is common. Only PM<sub>10</sub>-weighted (for each quarter to account for seasonality) annual arithmetic means that meet the AOS annual summary criteria are selected as valid means for trends purposes.<sup>3</sup> Only lead sites with at least six

samples per quarter in three of the four calendar quarters qualify as trends sites. Monthly composite lead data are used if at least two monthly samples are available for at least three of the four calendar quarters.

Monitoring instruments that operate continuously produce a measurement every hour for a possible total of 8,760 hourly measurements in a year. For hourly data, only annual averages based on at least 4,380 hourly observations are considered as trends statistics. The SO<sub>2</sub> standard-related daily statistics require at least 183 daily values to be included in the analysis. Ozone sites meet the annual trends data completeness requirement if they have at least 50 percent of the daily data available for the ozone season, which varies by state, but typically runs from May through September.4

### **Air Quality Trend Statistics**

The air quality statistics presented in this report relate to the pollutantspecific NAAQS and comply with the recommendations of the Intra-Agency Task Force on Air Quality Indicators.<sup>5</sup> A composite average of each trend statistic is used in the graphical presentations throughout this report. All sites were weighted equally in calculating the composite average trend statistic. Missing annual summary statistics for the second through ninth years for a site are estimated by linear interpolation from the surrounding years. Missing end points are replaced with the nearest valid year of data. The resulting data sets are statistically balanced, allowing simple statistical procedures and graphics to be easily applied. This procedure is conservative since endpoint rates of change are dampened by the interpolated estimates.

# **Emissions Estimate Methodology**

Trends are presented for annual nationwide emissions of CO, lead,  $NO_x$ , VOC,  $PM_{10}$ ,  $SO_2$ , and  $NH_3$ . These trends are estimates of the amount and kinds of pollution being emitted by automobiles, factories, and other sources based on best available engineering calculations. Methodologies for estimating emissions are constantly evolving and resources do not always allow for them to be recalculated for all years. Thus, some apparent changes in the emission trends are actually caused by a methods change rather than an actual change in emissions. Comparison of the estimates for a given year in this report to the same year in previous reports is not appropriate.

The emission estimates presented in this report reflect several major changes in methodologies. For stationary sources, state-derived emission estimates were included primarily for nonutility point and area sources beginning in 1996. Also, 1985–1994 source NO<sub>x</sub> emission rates derived from test data from EPA's Acid Rain Division were used.

For mobile sources, the MOBILE6 model and 2002 draft of the NON-ROAD model were run for several base years and interpolated between modeled years, making mobile source trends and emission methodology consistent across the entire period of years shown. This change in mobile source estimation methods makes for significant changes in the trends, in particular raising estimated emission levels for earlier years over previous reports. New methods have also been developed for estimating emissions from locomotives, aircraft, and commercial marine vessels. Improved methods

for these three categories are based on year-specific activity data and are superior to the previous estimates that were projected from year to year. However, they leave a few data gaps. For instance, the emission estimates erroneously show no PM emissions for commercial aircraft due to problems in confirming a valid emission factor.

In addition to the changes in methodology affecting most source categories and pollutants, other changes were made to the emissions for specific pollutants, source categories, and/or individual sources. Activity data and correction parameters for agricultural crops and paved roads were included. A change in methodology occurred starting in 1996 for calculating PM<sub>10</sub> emissions from unpaved roads and in 1999 for calculating emissions from construction. This has led to lower PM<sub>10</sub> emissions than would have been predicted using the previous methods. The development of new emission estimation methodologies has added emissions for open burning of residential yard waste and land-clearing debris burning. Starting in 1999, these estimates contributed to a significant increase in industrial category emissions for CO, PM<sub>10</sub>, and PM<sub>25</sub> between 1998 and 1999. Rule effectiveness from pre-1990 chemical and allied product emissions was removed. Alaska and Hawaii nonutility point and area source emissions from several sources were added. Also, this report incorporates data from continuous emissions monitors (CEMs) collected between 1994 and 1999 for NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> emissions at major electric utilities.

Another change is the addition of PM condensible emissions. Previous reports included only the filterable portion of PM for stationary sources. Onroad and nonroad mobile source estimates included condensibles due to the test methodology on which the estimates are based. In this latest report, we have tried to address this by augmenting our estimates to include the condensible portion for point source and selected area source emissions. This primarily affects combustion sources.

All of these changes are part of a broad effort to update and improve emission estimates. Additional emission estimates and a more detailed description of the estimation methodology are available from EPA's Emission Factor and Inventory Group (go to www.epa.gov/ttn/chief and click on "Emission Inventories," then click on "National Emissions Inventory Data," then click on the documentation and data for the latest year available).

# IMPROVE Methodology

Data collected from the Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE) network is summarized in Chapter 2 (PM<sub>2.5</sub> section) of this report. The completeness criteria and averaging method used to summarize the IMPROVE data are slightly different from those used for the criteria pollutants. (Data handling guidance is currently being developed for the IMPROVE network. Future summaries will be based on this guidance.) The source data sets were obtained from Dr. James Sisler of Colorado State University.

The annual average statistics in these files were used to assess trends in this report. The IMPROVE data are not reported in terms of a calendar year. The IMPROVE year runs from March to February of the following year. It follows that the four seasons are: March to May (spring), June to August (summer), September to November (autumn), and December to the following February (winter). The network samplers monitor on Wednesdays and Saturdays throughout the year, yielding 104 samples per year and 26 samples per season. To be included in this analysis, sites were required to have data for at least 50 percent of the scheduled samples (13 days) for every calendar quarter.

IMPROVE monitoring sites are selected as trends sites if they have complete data for at least 8 of the 10 years between 1990 and 1999 (or 6 of 8 years for those who began monitoring in 1992). A year is valid only if there are at least 13 samples (50 percent complete) per season for both measured and reconstructed PM<sub>2.5</sub>.





The same linear interpolation applied to the criteria pollutants is applied here. The IMPROVE sites meeting the data completeness criteria are shown in Figure B-1.

For consistency, the same sites are used in both the  $PM_{2.5}$  section. The exceptions are Washington, DC, and South Lake Tahoe, which are not included in the visibility trends analysis because they are urban sites.

# Air Toxics Methodology

#### **Database**

The 1990-1999 ambient air quality data presented in Chapter 5 of this report are based on air toxics data retrieved from AIRS in July 2000, data retrieved from the IMPROVE network in June 2000, and data voluntarily submitted to EPA by state and local monitoring agencies and received by June 30, 2000. For more details about the database, see Rosenbaum et al., 1999.<sup>6</sup> All statistical summaries are based on annual average concentrations. Measurements for hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) are frequently reported as nondetectable concentrations. To calculate annual average concentrations, one-half of the actual or plausible detection limit is used to substitute values for nondetects (or if the reported value is zero). The plausible detection limit, used for cases where the minimum detectable limit (MDL) is missing, is the lowest of the measured concentrations and MDLs for the given monitor and HAP.

Separate summaries are presented for sites in a metropolitan statistical area (MSA)/PMSA (primary MSA), excluding the (primarily rural) sites from the IMPROVE network, and for other sites. Areas (one or more counties) are assigned to either an MSA or a CMSA (consolidated MSA) consisting of two or more PMSAs or are just assigned to a county. Each

non-IMPROVE site in an MSA or CMSA was assigned either to its MSA or PMSA. Some analyses allocated MSA/PMSAs to states. If the MSA/PMSA crosses state boundaries, the state containing the largest portion of that MSA/PMSA was used.

#### Completeness

All calculations are based on the average of calculated or measured 24-hour values. For each HAP, a series of completeness rules are applied sequentially starting with using the raw hourly data to determine daily completeness. Multiple records for the same HAP, monitoring site, day, and time period are averaged together. A day is complete if the total number of hours monitored for that day is 18 or more (i.e., 75 percent of 24 hours). For example, 18 hourly averages, three 6-hour averages, or three 8-hour averages will satisfy the daily completeness criteria. Once daily completeness is satisfied, quarterly completeness is determined. Calendar quarters are

- (Late winter) January-March
- (Early summer) April–June
- (Late summer) July–September
- (Early winter) October–December. A calendar quarter is complete if it has 75 percent or more complete days out of the expected number of daily samples for that quarter and if there are at least five complete days in the quarter. To determine the expected number of daily samples, the most frequently occurring sampling interval (days from one sample to the next sample) was used; in cases of ties, the minimum sampling interval was applied. A calendar year is complete if both the summer and winter 6-month seasons have at least one complete quarter, that is, if (1) quarter 1 or 4 or both quarters 1 and 4 are complete, and (2) quarter 2 or 3

or both quarters 2 and 3 are complete.

In some cases, collocated samples for the same HAP and location were collected. For AQS data, collocated monitors are identified by having the same 9-digit AQS ID number but a different pollutant occurrence code (POC) number. The higher POC numbers are generally used for quality assurance monitoring data that are not as complete as the primary sampling data. Therefore, if multiple AIRS monitors at the same location meet the above completeness requirements, then only the data from the monitor with the lowest POC number were used for these analyses. For data not reported to AIRS, collocated monitors can have very different monitor identifiers. If multiple monitors at the same latitude and longitude location for a given sampling program and HAP meet the completeness requirements, then only the data from the monitor with the highest monitoring frequency were used for these analyses. In case of tied highest monitoring frequencies, the monitor with the most daily average records (from complete quarters in the trend period) was used.

#### **National Analyses**

Based on the available years of monitoring data across the nation, the national analyses were restricted to the 6-year period 1994 to 1999. A site was included for a particular HAP if, and only if, there were four or more complete years for that period.

#### California Analyses

A similar, but longer term trend analysis was performed on metropolitan sites located only in California using 1990 to 1999 data. A site was included for a given HAP if there was at least one period of 5 years or longer

so that at least 75 percent of those years are complete and the period ends in 1997 or later. Only the data from the most recent of the longest such periods were used.

#### **Trend Analysis**

Annual averages for years with four complete quarters were computed by averaging the four quarterly averages. If a year had one or more missing or incomplete quarters, then those missing or incomplete quarterly averages were filled in (if possible) using the General Linear Model (GLM) fill-in methodology described below, and the annual average was computed by first averaging the quarterly averages (actual or filledin) for a season and then averaging across the two seasons. Filled-in quarterly averages were used for incomplete quarters even if there were some data for that quarter. Data from incomplete quarters were not used in the analyses. The filled-in quarterly average can be negative sometimes, and occasionally this leads to a negative annual average. To deal with this case, negative or zero filled-in quarterly averages were used to compute the annual average (this avoids biasing the results), but any resulting negative annual averages were reset to zero. In the summary analyses, averages across multiple sites were computed as trimmed means rather than simple arithmetic means in order to reduce the influence of the most extreme monitor averages on the trend line. If there were nine sites or less, then no trimming was performed, so the trimmed mean is the arithmetic mean of all the site averages. If there were between 10 and 40 sites, inclusive, the trimmed mean is the arithmetic mean of all the site averages except for the highest and lowest averages. If there were 41 sites

or more, the trimmed mean is the arithmetic mean of all the site averages except for the highest 2.5 percent and the lowest 2.5 percent of the averages. The reported numbers of sites and percentiles are based on all sites meeting the completeness criteria, that is, including the sites that were excluded for the trimmed mean calculation.

The overall slope (trend) was estimated nonparametrically as the median of the ratios of the difference in the annual average to the difference in calendar year, for all pairs of calendar years. The significance level of the trend was computed using the associated nonparametric Theil test, based on the number of pairs of years where the annual averages increased. The p-values are calculated for a two-sided test for whether or not the annual averages have a trend (which may be increasing or decreasing). The trend is reported as "Significant Up Trend" or "Significant Down Trend" if the corresponding onesided test is significant at the 5 percent significance level; otherwise the result is reported as "Non-significant Up Trend," "No Trend," or "Non-significant Down Trend."

For the tables summarizing the annual average trends by monitor, the GLM fill-in method was not used. Instead, those monitor annual averages were computed by averaging all complete daily averages for each complete quarter, then averaging the complete quarterly averages for each season, and then averaging over the two seasons. All other analyses used the filled-in quarterly averages as described above.

## **GLM Fill-in Methodology**

The GLM fill-in methodology and software used to fill in missing quarterly averages were based on the report by Cohen and Pollack (1990),<sup>8</sup>

which can be consulted for more details. The method was modified to apply to the sequence of quarterly averages (24 values for the 6-year 1994–1999 period) instead of five annual means. The method was also modified to use a fitted statistical model with six year effects and four quarterly adjustments, instead of having 24 independent year/quarter effects. In other words, the fitted model assumes that the seasonal (quarterly) variation is the same for every site and year. Initially, each site is allocated to a region, which for these analyses was the MSA/PMSA for sites within an MSA or PMSA or was the county. Suppose that for each of the four quarters there is at least one site in the region with complete data for that quarter in at least 1 year. Suppose also that for each of the 6 years there is at least one site in the region with complete data for at least one quarter in that year. If these two conditions apply, then the missing quarterly averages for all sites in that region are computed by fitting a GLM so that the expected value for a given site and quarter q is the sum of the site average, a yearly adjustment term, and a quarterly adjustment term. The yearly adjustment term is the fixed effect of the y'th year,  $1 \le y \le 6$ , assumed to be the same value for all sites in the region. The quarterly adjustment term is the fixed effect of the q'th quarter,  $1 \le q \le 4$ , assumed to be the same value for all sites in the region and all years. If a region does not meet these two conditions, then the region is expanded to become a larger, augmented region with some site data for every quarter and some site data for every year, and the GLM approach is applied to the augmented region. Candidates for the augmented region are selected by finding the nearest site(s) in the same

state that have complete data for the missing quarter(s) and year(s). The selected augmented region is the region giving the lowest mean square error for the GLM.

Although the GLM methodology filled in most missing quarters, there were some states, HAPs, and years that had no complete quarters for any site in the state. In those cases, the missing quarters were not filled in by the GLM approach (which restricts the augmented regions to sites in the same state). For the national analyses of distributions across sites in different states, the missing site-years were then filled in using the same EPA extrapolation and interpolation method used elsewhere in this report: If the site annual average for 1994 was missing, it was filled in with the 1995 annual average; if the 1995 annual average was also missing, then the 1994 and 1995 annual averages were filled in with the 1996 annual average. If the site annual average for 1999 was missing, it was filled in with the 1998 annual average; if the 1998 annual average was also missing, then the 1999 and 1998 annual averages were filled in with the 1997 annual average. Otherwise, any missing annual averages were filled in using simple linear interpolation from the two surrounding annual averages.

## References

- 1. Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, U.S. Code, volume 42, section 7403 (c)(2), 1990.
- 2. Ambient Air Quality Surveillance, 44 CFR 27558, May 10, 1979.
- 3. Aerometric Information Retrieval System (AIRS), Volume 2, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Research Triangle Park, NC, October, 1993.
- 4. Ambient Air Quality Surveillance, 51 FR 9597, March 19, 1986.
- 5. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Intra-Agency Task Force Report on Air Quality Indicators, EPA-450/4-81-015, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Research Triangle Park, NC, February 1981.

- 6. Rosenbaum, A. S., Stiefer, M. P., and Iwamiya, R. K. November, 1999. *Air Toxics Data Archive and AIRS Combined Dataset: Contents Summary Report*. SYSAPP-99/26d. Systems Applications International, San Rafael, CA.
- 7. In all cases analyzed, four nonmissing quarterly means were available after applying the GLM method, so that the resulting annual mean is the arithmetic mean of the four quarterly averages.
- 8. Cohen, J.P. and A. K. Pollack. 1990. General Linear Models Approach to Estimating National Air Quality Trends Assuming Different Regional Trends. SYSAPP-90/102. Systems Applications International, San Rafael, CA.